UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,521	08/24/2006	Satoshi Takano	2006_1415A	1028
* - *	7590 08/31/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	Satoshi Takano 2006_1415A C.L.P. EXAMI	INER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			GHYKA, ALEXANDER G	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/590,521 TAKANO, SATOSHI					
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alexander G. Ghyka	2812				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Disions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1:704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON' ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT (36(a) In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (a) cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)				
Status							
1) 🔲 🖡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) 🔲 🤄	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 🖾 (Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		ALEXANDER GHYKA				
	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		PRIMARY EXAMINER				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		AU 2812				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application		·	Whe Sta				
9)□ т	he specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .					
	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2005</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		- , ,				
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
. * Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en tight.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
		• •					
Attachment(:		□					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) 🔀 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date (2) 1 10 6		nal Patent Application				
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070828				

(1) 10 (1) 1 (1) 10 (1) 11 (1) 12 (1) 12 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13 (1) 13

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sugimoto et al (2004/0005149).

The present Claims generally require a substrate processing apparatus characterized by being equipped with a conveyor chamber constituting a substrate convey space; a plurality of process chambers that carry out substrate processing; a substrate convey apparatus provided in said conveyor chamber having a function of conveying substrates and a control section that controls convey processing of substrates by the convey apparatus, so that in a case in which after a substrate is continuously processed by two or more process chambers, the process is reconveyed from the last process chamber to any of the two or more process chambers other than the last and return process implemented, in the re-conveyance the substrate is reconveyed to said any of the process chambers after being temporarily retracted to a place other than a process chamber.

Art Unit: 2812

Sugimoto et al disclose a substrate treating apparatus and method, the substrate transfer paths defining a going-only path for transporting the substrates forward, and a return only path for transporting the substrates in the opposite direction, the going only path and return only path being arranged on the upper and lower stories. See the Abstract. Sugimoto et al disclose a substrate processing apparatus (figure 2 and corresponding text) characterized by being equipped with a conveyor chamber constituting a substrate convey space (cassette shown in Figures 3 and 4, and discussed in paragraph 200); a plurality of process chambers that carry out substrate processing; a substrate convey apparatus provided in said conveyor chamber having a function of conveying substrates and a control section that controls convey processing of substrates by the convey apparatus(pages 11-12, paragraphs 202-204), so that in a case in which after a substrate is continuously processed by two or more process chambers, the process is reconveyed from the last process chamber to any of the two or more process chambers other than the last and return process implemented, in the re-conveyance the substrate is reconveyed to said any of the process chambers after being temporarily retracted to a place other than a process chamber(see figure 20, where substrates are transferred to a substrate rest, between treatment steps). With respect to Claim 7, the substrate rest chamber would be connected to the conveyor during the transfer. With respect to Claim 14, the use of the apparatus of Claim 1, anticipates the method of Claim 14.

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-6 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al (US 2004/0005149).

Sugimoto et al is relied upon as discussed above.

Sugimoto et al differs from the afore mentioned Claims in that Sugimoto et al does not disclose the reaction conditions and the retraction time as required by the afore mentioned Claims.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to arrive at the reaction conditions and retraction time, as required by the afore mentioned Claims, as where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the

Art Unit: 2812

prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. See *Allen et al v. Coe*, 57 USPQ 136. Moreover, the discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable in a known process is ordinarily within the skill of the art. See *In re Antonie*, 195 USPQ 6, (CCPA 1977) and *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (1955). In the present case the selection of the reaction conditions and retraction time would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art, for its benefit in optimizing the treatment of the substrates.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al as applied to claims 2-6, 9 and 11 above, and further in view of Maydan et al (EP 0 272 141).

Sugimoto et al is relied upon as discussed above.

However, Sugimoto et al does not disclose a substrate processing apparatus characterized in that the place other than a process chamber to which the substrate is retracted is in a load lock chamber connected to the conveyor chamber.

Maydan et al disclose a multiple chamber integrated process system. Maydan et al disclose a load lock (12), which includes an external cassette elevator (24) and an internal load lock wafer elevator (50), and also includes stations on the periphery of the load lock for connecting several vacuum processors (16-22) to the load lock chamber (12). See the Figure 1 and column 5, lines 15-55.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the load lock chamber of Maydan et al, in the process of Sugimoto, for

Art Unit: 2812

its benefit in holding the wafers between processing chambers. As both references are drawn to wafer treating apparatus, the use of a known device, as disclosed by Maydan et al, for its known utility, holding wafers between their insertion in various processing chambers, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander G. Ghyka whose telephone number is (571) 272-1669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during general business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on (571) 272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 28, 2007

AGG

ALEXANDER GHYKA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nr 28 12